**R E P O R T[[1]](#footnote-1)**

on the preliminary discussion of the doctoral (PhD) degree[[2]](#footnote-2)

**Details of the Candidate**

1. Name of the candidate:

2. Title of the thesis:

3. Doctoral School: Pekár Imre Doctoral School of Mechanical Engineering

4. Doctoral Programme:

5. Supervisor:

6. Place and date of the preliminary discussion:

**The Board of the Preliminary Discussion**

Reviewers: Name

Dr.

Dr.

Board: Name

Chair[[3]](#footnote-3): Dr.

Members: Dr.

Dr.

Rapporteur:

**Documentation of the preliminary discussion**

List of participants at the preliminary discussion.

See Attachment (attendance sheet, place of work, academic degree) (… page(s))

Summary of questions, comments and candidate's replies at the discussion.

See Attachment (… page(s))

Written evaluation of the referees (answers, referees' opinions on the answers).[[4]](#footnote-4)

See Attachment (… page(s))

In the case of online participants: their declaration of consent on the content of the report.

See Attachment (… page(s))

In the case of online participants: video recording of the entire preliminary discussion.

See Attachment (data medium)

**Evaluation of the defence committee**

The committee members' opinion on the candidate's scientific work:

Satisfactory / Not satisfactory (Underline the appropriate one.)

Explanation:

The committee members' opinion on the thesis:

Satisfactory / Satisfactory with corrections / Not satisfactory (Underline the appropriate one.)

Explanation:

The thesis must meet the scientific-ethical requirements for publication.

Satisfactory / Not satisfactory (Underline the appropriate one.)

The committee

**supports / does not support** (underline as appropriate)

the doctoral candidate's application for PhD degree process.

**Declaration of the online participants**

**on the adequacy of the preliminary discussion report**

I, the undersigned <<name>> (institution, title), certify that the candidate’s version of the preliminary discussion, certified by the chairperson, is accurate and correct.

Candidate’s name:

The title of the thesis:

Place and date of preliminary discussion:

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

signature

**Summary of questions, comments and candidate's replies**

**at the preliminary discussion**

**(page 1/…)**

Candidate’s name:

The title of the thesis:

Place and date of preliminary discussion:

**Summary of questions, comments and candidate's replies**

**at the preliminary discussion**

**(page 2/…)**

**Attendance sheet**

(Page 1/…)

Candidate’s name:

The title of the thesis:

Place and date of preliminary discussion:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Place of work** | **Title** | **Signature /„*online”* [[5]](#footnote-5)** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Attendance sheet**

(Page 2/…)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Place of work** | **Title** | **Signature /„*online”*** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

1. *This document was translated from the Hungarian form, in case of any questionable situations Hungarian language shall be controlling in all respects and English language version shall not be binding on the parties.* [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. To be made in three copies with the attendance sheet. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. PIDSME core member, did not participate in the complex examination either as chair or member. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. If the referee does not take part in the discussion, the reply and the opinion thereon must be attached. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. In case of online participation, the word “online” is acceptable to be written. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)