MINTA

eva	asys	Teachers' student feedbac	ck (DOCTORAL) Pel	kár lmi	re Gépe	észeti 7	Fudoma	ányok Doktor	i Iskola 🥝 e	vasys		
										DEBRECENI EOVETEM		
A megjel	ölés módja	: ☐ 🗶 ☐ ☐ Kérem, haszna				•		•	rül.			
avilas.		AZ OPLINIAIIS DE	eolvasasi eredineriyek ere	Jekebei	i kereili,	KUVESSE	a belliui	atott peluakat.				
1.												
		note that the question	naire is handled	anony	ymous	sly as	part o	f the Docto	oral School's	quality		
1.1		of the evaluated instru	ctor:									
1.2	The ta	ught subject:										
1.3	What p	percentage of classes o	did you attend? ☐ 21-40%					□ 41-60%				
	☐ 61-8		□ 81-100%									
1.4	□ 1-20		□ 21-40%					□ 41-60%				
1.5	☐ 61-8		☐ 81-100%	he in	etruct	or of t	he aiv	on subject	2			
1.0	What percentage of the classes were held by the instructor of the given subject? ☐ 1-20% ☐ 21-40% ☐ 41-60% ☐ 61-80% ☐ 81-100%											
	Please rate the following questions and specific aspects on a scale of 1-5! (1 if not typical at all and 5 if very specific to the instructor or the subject being taught. Please mark 0 if you cannot decide, have no opinion or if the question is not relevant.)											
				7	5	ى	8	Ś	0			
1.6	Instruction profes datene	ctor's preparedness, sional credibility and u ess	p-to-									
1.7	instruc logica	o you consider the ctor's explanatory skills l outlines: were the less sting and exciting?										
1.8	provide in the l with th	at extent did the given so e a higher level of know PhD training than the su e same title or similar t in your previous studi	ledge bject									
1.9	provide	it extent did the teacher the curriculum (aids, no eeded to complete the co										
1.10	there vertent in according	vility of the requirement was a written exam, to were the questions as ordance with the syllab tted or highlighted?	what ked									

F12868U0P1PL0V0 2022.10.02, Oldal 1/2

MINTA

evasys Teachers' student feedback (DOCTORAL) Pekár Imre Gépészeti Tudományok Doktori Iskola 😢 evasys

1.			
1.11 Feasibility of the requirements: to what extent were the questions asked in the oral exam in accordance with the submitted and highlighted curriculum? (Was the instructor focusing on what the student knew or rather what he or she did not know?)			
1.12 The general atmosphere of the oral exam: human, emotional factors.			
1.13 To what extent can the curriculum be used in the researcher's / teacher's work?			
1.14 To what extent were the defined exam requirements, the exam itself and the obtained grade consistent?			
1.15 What is your overall impression of the subject being reviewed?			
1.16 Other comments, add-ons:			